	Case 2:20-cv-00568-JAM-DMC Docume	nt 63	Filed 02/14/22	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	JAMES PLAS SAMS,	No	o. 2:20-CV-0568-	JAM-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	<u>OI</u>	<u>RDER</u>	
14	RALPH DIAZ, et al.,			
15	Defendants.			
16		J		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to			
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion, ECF No. 44, for sanctions and			
19	Defendants' opposition thereto, ECF No. 45.			
20	In his motion, Plaintiff argues that the arguments raised in Defendants' original			
21	motion to dismiss, filed on February 8, 2021, warrant imposition of sanctions under Federal Rule			
22	of Civil Procedure 11. Plaintiff's motion is denied for two reasons. First, the Court does not find			
23	that the arguments raised in Defendants' original motion to dismiss are clearly frivolous, legally			
24	unreasonable, or brought for an improper purpose such that Rule 11 sanctions are appropriate.			
25	Second, Defendants' original motion to dismiss was superseded by an amended motion to			
26	dismiss, ECF No. 47, which was filed after Plaintiff filed the instant motion for sanctions.			
27	Plaintiff has responded substantively to Defendants' amended motion to dismiss, which will be			
28	addressed by separate findings and recommendations.			

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for sanctions, ECF No. 44, is denied. Dated: February 11, 2022 DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE